

AD\_\_\_\_\_

AWARD NUMBER: DAMD17-03-1-0276

TITLE: Exercise to Counteract Loss of Bone and Muscle During Androgen Deprivation  
Therapy in Men with Prostate Cancer

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Wendy M. Kohrt, Ph.D.  
L. Michael Glode, M.D.  
Robert S. Schwartz, M.D.  
Daniel W. Barry, M.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Colorado, Denver  
Aurora, CO 80045

REPORT DATE: August 2009

TYPE OF REPORT: Final Addendum

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command  
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;  
Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

|   |                         |   |   |  |   |
|---|-------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| <b>REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE</b>  |                         |   |   | <i>Form Approved</i><br><b>OMB No. 0704-0188</b>     |   |
| Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. <b>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</b>   |                         |   |   |  |   |
| <b>1. REPORT DATE</b><br>1 August 2009  |                         | <b>2. REPORT TYPE</b><br>Final Addendum |   | <b>3. DATES COVERED</b><br>14 Jul 2008 – 13 Jul 2009 |   |
| <b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b><br><br>Exercise to Counteract Loss of Bone and Muscle During Androgen Deprivation Therapy in Men with Prostate Cancer  |                         |   |   | <b>5a. CONTRACT NUMBER</b>                           |   |
|   |                         |   |   | <b>5b. GRANT NUMBER</b><br>DAMD17-03-1-0276          |   |
|   |                         |   |   | <b>5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER</b>                    |   |
| <b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b><br><br>Wendy M. Kohrt, Ph.D.; L. Michael Glode, M.D.; Robert S. Schwartz, M.D.; Daniel W. Barry, M.D.<br><br>E-Mail: wendy.kohrt@ucdenver.edu   |                         |   |   | <b>5d. PROJECT NUMBER</b>                            |   |
|   |                         |   |   | <b>5e. TASK NUMBER</b>                               |   |
|   |                         |   |   | <b>5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER</b>                          |   |
| <b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b><br><br>University of Colorado, Denver<br>Aurora, CO 80045   |                         |   |   | <b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</b>      |   |
| <b>9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b><br>U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command<br>Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012  |                         |   |   | <b>10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)</b>              |   |
|   |                         |   |   | <b>11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)</b>        |   |
| <b>12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b><br>Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited   |                         |   |   |  |   |
| <b>13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b>  |                         |   |   |  |   |
| <b>14. ABSTRACT</b><br>The original objective was to determine whether a 1-year intensive resistance exercise training (RT) program is more effective than a moderate-intensity walking program in ameliorating the effects on body composition of androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) in men with prostate cancer. It was postulated that: 1) RT will attenuate the declines in bone mineral density (BMD) and fat-free mass (FFM) to a greater extent than walking; and 2) both RT and walking will prevent an increase in fat mass. Primary outcomes are lumbar spine BMD and FFM. Secondary outcomes are: total body and hip BMD; fat mass; markers of bone turnover; serum sex hormones; physical functional performance; quality of life, and risk factors for cardiovascular disease (blood lipids, glucose tolerance, arterial stiffness). Because of the inability to enroll the projected number of participants, the study protocol was modified at the time of the 2006 annual IRB review to focus only on the intensive resistance training intervention. |                         |   |   |  |   |
| <b>15. SUBJECT TERMS</b><br>bone mineral density, osteoporosis, sarcopenia, bone turnover, resistance exercise training   |                         |   |   |  |   |
| <b>16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:</b>  |                         |   | <b>17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b><br><br>UU | <b>18. NUMBER OF PAGES</b><br><br>13                 | <b>19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON</b><br>USAMRMC |
| <b>a. REPORT</b><br>U   | <b>b. ABSTRACT</b><br>U | <b>c. THIS PAGE</b><br>U                |   |  | <b>19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER</b> (include area code)  |

## Table of Contents

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>Introduction.....</b>                 | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>Body.....</b>                         | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>Key Research Accomplishments.....</b> | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>Reportable Outcomes.....</b>          | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>Conclusions.....</b>                  | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>References.....</b>                   | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>Appendices.....</b>                   | <b>11</b> |

## INTRODUCTION

The original aim of the study was to determine whether a 1-year intensive resistance exercise training (RT) program is more effective than a moderate-intensity walking program in ameliorating the effects on body composition of androgen deprivation therapy (ADT). It was postulated that, in men on ADT for the treatment of prostate cancer: 1) RT will attenuate the declines in bone mineral density (BMD) and fat-free mass (FFM) to a greater extent than walking; and 2) both RT and walking will prevent an increase in fat mass. It was proposed that a total of 40 men would be enrolled and randomized to either the RT or walking exercise programs. Primary outcomes are lumbar spine BMD and FFM. Secondary outcomes are: total body and hip BMD; fat mass; markers of bone turnover to determine whether changes in BMD are the result of changes in bone resorption and/or formation; serum sex hormones, including testosterone, estradiol, estrone, and sex hormone binding globulin; physical functional performance; and quality of life. Local project support enabled additional assessments of risk factors for cardiovascular disease, including blood lipid profile, oral glucose tolerance, and arterial stiffness. These procedures were not included in the original grant application, but were described in the revised protocol that was approved by the local IRB and the HSRRB. Because of the inability to enroll the projected number of participants, the study protocol was modified at the time of the 2006 annual IRB review to focus only on the intensive resistance training intervention.

## BODY

The tasks in the Statement of Work are as follows:

### **Task 1: Preparation to initiate studies; months 1 – 3**

- secure local IRB and HSRRB approval for study
- apply for research support from the General Clinical Research Center (GCRC)
- apply for research support from the Clinical Nutrition Research Unit (CNRU)
- prepare data forms
- prepare data base
- train research staff

Final approval of the protocol by the HSRRB was 8 August 2004. Thereafter, final approvals were obtained from the GCRC and CNRU for local project support. Recruiting efforts began in November 2004. The protocol was last approved by the local IRB on 17 June 2009.

### **Task 2: Subject recruitment; months 4-21**

- enroll 2-3 subjects per month, total of 40 (20 with the change in study design)
- recruiting lectures at local prostate support group meetings
- meetings with private urology clinic staffs
- interactions with health reporters for local media
- place advertisements on newspaper and radio

Enrollment was below the projected level. Recruitment activities in the past two years focused on contacting urology and medical oncology clinics, both as a means of conserving resources for carrying out the intervention and because direct referral from health care colleagues in the university system was the primary source of volunteers.

Over the entire study, 140 men inquired about the study, 42 attended an orientation session, 39 provided informed consent, and 14 were enrolled in the study. Of the 14 enrolled, 10 completed final follow-up evaluations, and 4 dropped out of the study (time commitment, 1; progression of prostate cancer, 1; unknown, 2). Of the 25 men who provided informed consent but were not enrolled in the study: 12 dropped out for unknown or personal reasons (no response, 7; not enough time, 2; concern about blood draws, 1; refused to get follow-up evaluation for hypertension, 1; refused to get a bone scintigraphy, 1) and 13 did not qualify (started medications that influence bone metabolism, 4; unrepaired hernias, 2; positive bone scan, 1; renal disease, 1; exercising too much, 1; oxygen desaturation during ambulation, 1; unstable medical status, 3).

### **Task 3: Implement resistance exercise ~~and walking exercise~~ programs; months 5 - 32**

- maintain records of attendance, exercise performance
- routine maintenance of equipment
- track progress of individual participants

This task was completed, although not in the targeted number of volunteers.

#### **Task 4: Data acquisition and management; months 4 – 32**

- schedule all baseline and follow-up testing sessions for all participants
- review all data forms prior to computerization
- enter data into database
- perform routine quality control of database
- track blood samples stored for batch analyses of sex hormones and markers of bone turnover to be performed as participants complete the intervention

This task was not fully achieved. Because of the low subject accrual, serum assays have not yet been completed. These will be performed in the future (at the expense of the PI). See below for the tentative plan for publication of the data from the trial.

#### **Task 5: Prepare schedule reports; months 1 to 36**

- prepare required progress reports
- secure annual IRB (and HSRRB, if necessary) renewal of protocol
- file serious adverse event forms as necessary
- prepare abstracts for presentation

Annual IRB approval was obtained on 17 June 2009. No serious adverse events occurred. Because of the inability to recruit the targeted number of participants, the decision was made at the time of the 2006 IRB continuing review to focus the intervention only on the intensive resistance exercise intervention (i.e., discontinue randomization to the walking exercise group). Although this was not an ideal experimental design, the rationale was that it was better to increase the sample size in the resistance training group to demonstrate significant increases in bone and muscle mass in response to exercise (if they occur), than to distribute the limited number of subjects across two groups and have little chance of demonstrating differences between the groups in the adaptations to exercise. These updates to the protocol were submitted to Mr. Peter Marshall at Fort Detrick, MD, after they were approved by the local IRB (23 Aug 2006). As indicated in the 2007 progress report, confirmation from Mr. Marshall was received in November 2006 that updates were being processed. All materials related to the protocol amendment (and the intervening local IRB continuing review) were re-submitted to the USAMRMC in November 2007. In January of 2008, the PI received an email message regarding the amendment approval from Julie Wilberding, PhD, who requested additional documentation of local IRB approvals. After submitting those documents, the PI received a notice from Dr. Wilberding on 29 January 2008 that the protocol was up to date on continuing review and that all of the amendments were approved on the DOD end.

Based on the recommendation of Dr. Wilberding, the protocol was further modified in February 2008 to include current standard DOD language; this amendment was approved by the local IRB on 19 Feb 2008. In the past year, the consent form underwent minor changes to update standard language used by the local IRB (approved 17 June 2009) and to update study personnel and contact information (approved 17 June 2009).

### **KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS**

The investigators were not successful in enrolling the targeted number of participants for the trial. One reason that recruitment may have been hampered was that trials of bisphosphonate therapy for the preservation of bone mass in men undergoing ADT for prostate cancer were initiated around the time the current study began. Some of the local physicians indicated that they were referring their patients to these trials, which excluded them from participation in our trial. Also, it remains uncertain whether the suppression of sex hormones in men has an effect to markedly reduce spontaneous physical activity, as occurs with sex hormone suppression in some species of female animals. If this does occur, this may have contributed to the lack of enthusiasm of

patients on ADT for participating in an exercise intervention trial. We were successful in getting 10 men to complete 1 year of intensive resistance exercise training.

Plans for publishing findings:

To increase the chances of publishing the findings (in the absence of the originally planned control group), we plan to take advantage of an ongoing NIH-supported clinical trial of one of the co-investigators (RS Schwartz). The trial utilizes the same 1-year resistance exercise training intervention and has the same primary outcomes as the current trial. It is being conducted in men with low normal serum testosterone levels who are randomized to receive placebo therapy or testosterone supplementation. When the testosterone trial is completed (in approximately 1 year), we will take subsamples of men from the placebo and testosterone arms in that study who are matched with the finishers in the current study on age and body mass index. This will enable us to evaluate BMD and body composition changes in response to exercise in men with very low testosterone levels (current study), low normal testosterone (placebo), and higher testosterone levels (testosterone supplementation). This will enable us to evaluate the importance of testosterone and estradiol as mediators of body composition and bone adaptations to exercise training.

## **REPORTABLE OUTCOMES**

At the time of enrollment, the participants were aged  $65 \pm 10$  years (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) and had a BMI of  $27.8 \pm 4.2$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Their systolic and diastolic blood pressures were  $139 \pm 14$  and  $82 \pm 9$  mmHg, respectively. Six men had undergone prostatectomy.

The changes in body composition in response to exercise training were not significant. Based on previous reports that ADT causes an increase in total body and central adiposity, the findings of no increase in total fat mass ( $26.4 \pm 7.8$  kg before vs  $26.4 \pm 8.7$  kg after) and a small decrease in trunk fat mass ( $14.9 \pm 4.1$  kg before to  $14.5 \pm 4.6$  kg after) suggest that exercise helped to prevent fat accrual. Similarly, the maintenance of fat-free mass ( $56.1 \pm 8.6$  kg before vs  $56.3 \pm 6.9$  kg after) suggests that resistance exercise training can help preserve muscle mass in men with prostate cancer undergoing ADT.

As with body composition, there were no significant increases in BMD in response to exercise training. BMD tended to be maintained at the total hip ( $0.995 \pm 0.080$  g/cm<sup>2</sup> before vs  $0.994 \pm 0.100$  g/cm<sup>2</sup> after) and the shaft ( $1.180 \pm 0.121$  g/cm<sup>2</sup> before vs  $1.183 \pm 0.130$  g/cm<sup>2</sup> after) and trochanter ( $0.780 \pm 0.103$  g/cm<sup>2</sup> before vs  $0.785 \pm 0.098$  g/cm<sup>2</sup> after) subregions of the hip. However, BMD at the femoral neck, a common site of osteoporotic fracture, decreased over the year of exercise training ( $0.807 \pm 0.076$  g/cm<sup>2</sup> before vs  $0.788 \pm 0.080$  g/cm<sup>2</sup> after) by an average of  $-2.4 \pm 2.8\%$ . Lumbar spine BMD (L1-L4) was preserved ( $1.090 \pm 0.119$  g/cm<sup>2</sup> before vs  $1.086 \pm 0.119$  g/cm<sup>2</sup> after).

There was no significant change in fasted glucose in response to exercise training ( $95.0 \pm 11.8$  mg/dL before vs.  $93.2 \pm 10.9$  mg/dL after) and a tendency for fasted insulin to increase ( $7.4 \pm 4.3$  uU/mL before vs.  $10.8 \pm 6.9$  uU/mL after). This suggests that, if anything, there was a trend for insulin resistance to increase, which occurs in men on ADT. Total cholesterol decreased 18% ( $171 \pm 46$  mg/dL before vs  $138 \pm 32$  mg/dL after), HDL-cholesterol decreased 15% ( $46 \pm 13$  mg/dL before vs.  $39 \pm 9$  mg/dL after), triglycerides were unchanged ( $124 \pm 57$  mg/dL before vs.  $121 \pm 44$  mg/dL after), and LDL-cholesterol (calculated using the Friedewald Equation) decreased 25% ( $100 \pm 37$  mg/dL before vs  $75 \pm 27$  mg/dL after) in response to exercise training.

Because the study was carried through two no-cost extensions to try to increase the enrollment, expenditures for personnel were greater than originally planned. Sex hormone and bone turnover marker assays have not yet been run, but will be completed in the future (at the PI's expense). The importance of serum testosterone and estradiol concentrations as mediators of the adaptations to exercise, and whether exercise influenced either bone resorption or formation, will be examined in the future, as described above.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

Throughout the period of award, we had difficulty enrolling volunteers in the study. The expectation was that the inclusion of a medical oncologist (Dr. Glode), who enthusiastically agreed to be a co-investigator to facilitate the recruitment of patients, would ensure the success of this aspect of the project. Because this did not occur, the investigators tried both general advertising mechanisms (e.g., newspaper, radio) and more targeted approaches (e.g., other oncology and urology providers and prostate cancer support groups in the Denver Metropolitan area). Most successful referrals came from a few providers. Future studies in this area would require very strong associations with key referring physicians.

As described in the Key Research Accomplishments section above, we believe we will be able to publish the findings of the study in the future when some convenience comparison groups become available through

another ongoing trial in our lab. The importance of determining the effectiveness of exercise to counteract some of the effects of ADT remains high. There have been numerous published reviews in the past few years on the devastating effects of ADT on the bone health of men with prostate cancer.<sup>2,4,8,10,14,18-21,26,28,31,33,34,38,39,42,43</sup> Recent studies indicate that the rate of decline in BMD increases 5- to 10-fold after the initiation of ADT<sup>15</sup> and that the relative risk of osteoporotic fracture is increased by 30% to 300%.<sup>25,36,41</sup> In 31 men undergoing ADT who were treated with placebo in a bisphosphonate intervention trial,<sup>32</sup> the lumbar spine T-score decreased from an average of -0.8 to -2.5 after only 3 years; all of the participants were classified as either osteopenic (n=13) or osteoporotic (n=18). In one recent retrospective cohort study, the prevalence of osteoporosis for 390 men with prostate cancer undergoing ADT increased from 35% in hormone-naïve patients to 43%, 49%, 60%, 66%, and 81% after 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10+ years of ADT, respectively.<sup>27</sup> In a recent prospective cohort study, the age-adjusted incidence of fracture was higher in men with prostate cancer (31.6 per 1000 person-years) when compared with those without cancer (22.1 per 1000 person-years), and even higher in men with prostate cancer on ADT (40.2 per 1000 person-years).<sup>1</sup> Pharmacotherapies that have proven to be effective in preventing fractures in postmenopausal women<sup>7</sup> may also be effective in men undergoing ADT, based on improvements in BMD that have been observed.<sup>16,32</sup> There is some evidence for anti-fracture efficacy of bisphosphonates in men on ADT, although not from randomized controlled trials.<sup>30</sup> However, even if proven to prevent fractures, pharmacologic osteoporosis therapies do not ameliorate other consequences of ADT that are likely to increase morbidity and mortality.

When compared with either healthy men or men with prostate cancer who are *not* on ADT, men with prostate cancer on ADT lose more muscle, gain more fat (particularly in the abdominal region), and become more insulin resistant and glucose intolerant.<sup>3,5,15,37,40</sup> A recent study of 72 men undergoing intermittent ADT found that lean mass decreased by  $2.4 \pm 0.4\%$  and fat mass increased by  $13.8 \pm 2.3\%$  (both  $p < 0.01$ ) in only 36 weeks.<sup>12</sup> The prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in men undergoing ADT may be more than 50%.<sup>6</sup> In a retrospective study of men with prostate cancer who did (n=1231) or did not (n=7250) receive ADT, the relative risk of incident type 2 diabetes associated with ADT was 1.36 ( $p < 0.01$ ).<sup>24</sup> In men with type 1 diabetes, ADT adversely affected glycemic control, C-reactive protein, fibrinogen, PAI-1, t-PA, and lipid profile.<sup>17</sup> A recent retrospective cohort study found that men with newly diagnosed prostate cancer who were on ADT for at least 1 year had a 20% higher risk of serious cardiovascular morbidity compared with those who did not receive ADT.<sup>35</sup> It appears that even short-term ADT increases risk for cardiovascular disease and diabetes. The time to fatal myocardial infarction was found to be shorter in men who had received 6 mo of ADT plus radiotherapy than in those who received radiotherapy only.<sup>9</sup> A large observational study found that ADT increased the risk for coronary heart disease (16%), myocardial infarction (11%), sudden cardiac death (16%), and diabetes (44%), and that increased risk was apparent with only 1 to 4 months of ADT.<sup>23</sup> Collectively, these observational cohort studies strongly suggest that although ADT may be of benefit for attenuating the progression of prostate cancer, the metabolic consequences of ADT increase risk for physical disability, cardiovascular disease, and diabetes.

Because exercise is the *only* intervention that has the potential to favorably influence *all* of these metabolic consequences of ADT and improve survival and quality of life in men with prostate cancer, conducting exercise intervention studies is the first step in providing preliminary evidence for the effectiveness of exercise in this population. Despite the suggestion by Galvao et al that exercise training can prevent or reverse some of the adverse effects of ADT,<sup>13</sup> the evidence to support this remains sparse. In fact, the suggestion was based largely on a small study by those investigators that evaluated changes in body composition, muscle strength, and BMD in response to 5 months of resistance training in 10 men with prostate cancer undergoing ADT (i.e., same study design as our modified protocol).<sup>11</sup> There were significant improvements in muscle strength and functional performance. Fat-free mass and BMD were maintained, but did not increase. Those investigators recently described plans for a large randomized controlled trial of exercise to ameliorate the side-effects of ADT in men with prostate cancer.<sup>29</sup> A recent email message from them inquired about our interest in being a study site for that trial, suggesting that they, too, are facing recruitment and enrollment challenges.

The findings of our study that exercise training preserved body composition and BMD of some skeletal sites is encouraging. Based on the literature discussed in the preceding paragraphs, it is likely that adverse changes in BMD, body composition, and other metabolic parameters would have occurred in the absence of an exercise intervention. However, in the absence of the planned control group, evidence for such benefits is lacking. As discussed above, we plan to utilize convenience comparator groups from an ongoing trial of exercise and testosterone supplementation in older men with low normal testosterone levels to evaluate whether serum testosterone and/or estrogen levels mediate the body composition and BMD adaptations to exercise training. We will use analytical approaches similar to those we used recently for another clinical trial.<sup>22</sup> All future costs for completing the serum assays, analyzing the data, and publishing the findings will be borne by the PI.

## REFERENCES

1. Ahlborg HG, Nguyen ND, Center JR, Eisman JA, Nguyen TV 2008 Incidence and risk factors for low trauma fractures in men with prostate cancer. *Bone* **43**:556-560.
2. Allain TJ 2006 Prostate cancer, osteoporosis and fracture risk. *Gerontology* **52**:107-110.
3. Basaria S, Muller DC, Carducci MA, Egan J, Dobs AS 2006 Hyperglycemia and insulin resistance in men with prostate carcinoma who receive androgen-deprivation therapy. *Cancer* **106**:581-588.
4. Body JJ, Bergmann P, Boonen S, Boutsen Y, Devogelaer JP, Goemaere S, Reginster JY, Rozenberg S, Kaufman JM 2007 Management of cancer treatment-induced bone loss in early breast and prostate cancer -- a consensus paper of the Belgian Bone Club. *Osteoporos Int* **18**:1439-1450.
5. Boxer RS, Kenny AM, Dowsett R, Taxel P 2005 The effect of 6 months of androgen deprivation therapy on muscle and fat mass in older men with localized prostate cancer. *Aging Male* **8**:207-212.
6. Braga-Basaria M, Dobs AS, Muller DC, Carducci MA, John M, Egan J, Basaria S 2006 Metabolic syndrome in men with prostate cancer undergoing long-term androgen-deprivation therapy. *J Clin Oncol* **24**:3979-3983.
7. Brown SA, Rosen CJ 2003 Osteoporosis. *Med Clin North Am* **87**:1039-1063.
8. Bruder JM, Ma JZ, Basler JW, Welch MD 2006 Prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis by central and peripheral bone mineral density in men with prostate cancer during androgen-deprivation therapy. *Urology* **67**:152-155.
9. D'Amico AV, Denham JW, Crook J, Chen MH, Goldhaber SZ, Lamb DS, Joseph D, Tai KH, Malone S, Ludgate C, Steigler A, Kantoff PW 2007 Influence of androgen suppression therapy for prostate cancer on the frequency and timing of fatal myocardial infarctions. *J Clin Oncol* **25**:2420-2425.
10. Duncan GG, Corbett T, Lukka H, Warde P, Pickles T 2006 GU radiation oncologists consensus on bone loss from androgen deprivation. *Can J Urol* **13**:2962-2966.
11. Galvao DA, Nosaka K, Taaffe DR, Spry N, Kristjanson LJ, McGuigan MR, Suzuki K, Yamaya K, Newton RU 2006 Resistance training and reduction of treatment side effects in prostate cancer patients. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* **38**:2045-2052.
12. Galvao DA, Spry NA, Taaffe DR, Newton RU, Stanley J, Shannon T, Rowling C, Prince R 2008 Changes in muscle, fat and bone mass after 36 weeks of maximal androgen blockade for prostate cancer. *BJU Int* **102**:44-47.
13. Galvao DA, Taaffe DR, Spry N, Newton RU 2007 Exercise can prevent and even reverse adverse effects of androgen suppression treatment in men with prostate cancer. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis* **10**:340-346.
14. Gilbert SM, McKiernan JM 2006 The role of bisphosphonates in preventing skeletal complications of hormonal therapy. *Urol Clin North Am* **33**:191-9, vi.
15. Greenspan SL, Coates P, Sereika SM, Nelson JB, Trump DL, Resnick NM 2005 Bone loss after initiation of androgen deprivation therapy in patients with prostate cancer. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **90**:6410-6417.
16. Greenspan SL, Nelson JB, Trump DL, Resnick NM 2007 Effect of once-weekly oral alendronate on bone loss in men receiving androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* **146**:416-424.



17. Haidar A, Yassin A, Saad F, Shabsigh R 2007 Effects of androgen deprivation on glycaemic control and on cardiovascular biochemical risk factors in men with advanced prostate cancer with diabetes. *Aging Male* **10**:189-196.
18. Higano CS 2008 Androgen-deprivation-therapy-induced fractures in men with nonmetastatic prostate cancer: what do we really know? *Nat Clin Pract Urol* **5**:24-34.
19. Hoesl CE, Altwein JE 2006 Biphosphonates in advanced prostate and renal cell cancer--current status and potential applications. *Urol Int* **76**:97-105.
20. Holmes-Walker DJ, Woo H, Gurney H, Do VT, Chipps DR 2006 Maintaining bone health in patients with prostate cancer. *Med J Aust* **184**:176-179.
21. Israeli RS, Ryan CW, Jung LL 2008 Managing Bone Loss in Men With Locally Advanced Prostate Cancer Receiving Androgen Deprivation Therapy. *The Journal of Urology* **179**:414-423.
22. Jankowski CM, Gozansky WS, Kittelson JM, Van Pelt RE, Schwartz RS, Kohrt WM 2008 Increases in bone mineral density in response to oral dehydroepiandrosterone replacement in older adults appear to be mediated by serum estrogens. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **93**:4767-4773.
23. Keating NL, O'Malley AJ, Smith MR 2006 Diabetes and cardiovascular disease during androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **24**:4448-4456.
24. Lage MJ, Barber BL, Markus RA 2007 Association between androgen-deprivation therapy and incidence of diabetes among males with prostate cancer. *Urology* **70**:1104-1108.
25. Lopez AM, Pena MA, Hernandez R, Val F, Martin B, Riancho JA 2005 Fracture risk in patients with prostate cancer on androgen deprivation therapy. *Osteoporos Int* **16**:707-711.
26. McLeod N, Huynh CC, Rashid P 2006 Osteoporosis from androgen deprivation therapy in prostate cancer treatment. *Aust Fam Physician* **35**:243-245.
27. Morote J, Morin JP, Orsola A, Abascal JM, Salvador C, Trilla E, Raventos CX, Cecchini L, Encabo G, Reventos J 2007 Prevalence of osteoporosis during long-term androgen deprivation therapy in patients with prostate cancer. *Urology* **69**:500-504.
28. Mottet N, Prayer-Galetti T, Hammerer P, Kattan MW, Tunn U 2006 Optimizing outcomes and quality of life in the hormonal treatment of prostate cancer. *BJU Int*.
29. Newton R, Taaffe D, Spry N, Gardiner R, Levin G, Wall B, Joseph D, Chambers S, Galvao D 2009 A phase III clinical trial of exercise modalities on treatment side-effects in men receiving therapy for prostate cancer. *BMC Cancer* **9**:210.
30. Planas J, Trilla E, Raventos C, Cecchini L, Orsola A, Salvador C, Placer J, Encabo G, Morote J 2009 Alendronate decreases the fracture risk in patients with prostate cancer on androgen-deprivation therapy and with severe osteopenia or osteoporosis. *BJU Int*.
31. Polascik TJ 2007 Bone health in prostate cancer patients receiving androgen-deprivation therapy: the role of bisphosphonates. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis* **11**:13-19.
32. Rodrigues P, Hering FO, Bruna P, Meller A, Afonso Y 2007 Comparative study of the protective effect of different intravenous bisphosphonates on the decrease in bone mineral density in patients submitted to radical prostatectomy undergoing androgen deprivation therapy. A prospective open-label controlled study. *Int J Urol* **14**:317-320.
33. Saad F, Higano CS, Sartor O, Colombel M, Murray R, Mason MD, Tubaro A, Schulman C 2006 The role of bisphosphonates in the treatment of prostate cancer: recommendations from an expert panel. *Clin Genitourin Cancer* **4**:257-262.

34. Saad F, Sternberg CN 2007 Multidisciplinary management of bone complications in prostate cancer and optimizing outcomes of bisphosphonate therapy. *Nat Clin Pract Urol* **4 Suppl 1**:S3-13.
35. Saigal CS, Gore JL, Krupski TL, Hanley J, Schonlau M, Litwin MS 2007 Androgen deprivation therapy increases cardiovascular morbidity in men with prostate cancer. *Cancer* **110**:1493-1500.
36. Shahinian VB, Kuo YF, Freeman JL, Goodwin JS 2005 Risk of fracture after androgen deprivation for prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med* **352**:154-164.
37. Smith MR 2004 Changes in fat and lean body mass during androgen-deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. *Urology* **63**:742-745.
38. Smith MR 2007 Androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer: new concepts and concerns. *Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes* **14**:247-254.
39. Smith MR, Boyce SP, Moyneur E, Duh MS, Raut MK, Brandman J 2006 Risk of clinical fractures after gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist therapy for prostate cancer. *J Urol* **175**:136-139.
40. Smith MR, Lee H, Nathan DM 2006 Insulin sensitivity during combined androgen blockade for prostate cancer. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **91**:1305-1308.
41. Smith MR, Lee WC, Brandman J, Wang Q, Botteman M, Pashos CL 2005 Gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists and fracture risk: a claims-based cohort study of men with nonmetastatic prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **23**:7897-7903.
42. Srinivas S, Colocci N 2006 Bone related events in high risk prostate cancer. *The Journal of Urology* **176**:S50-S54.
43. Wilcox A, Carnes ML, Moon TD, Tobias R, Baade H, Stamos E, Elliott ME 2006 Androgen deprivation in veterans with prostate cancer: implications for skeletal health. *Ann Pharmacother* **40**:2107-2114.

## **APPENDICES**

Appendix A – IRB continuing review Certificate of Approval

Appendix B – List of personnel receiving pay



Colorado Multiple Institutional Review Board  
13001 E. 17th Place  
Building 500, Room N3214  
Aurora, Colorado 80010-7238

**Mailing Address:**  
Mail Stop F-490  
P.O. Box 6508  
Aurora, Co 80045-6508

303.724.1055 (Phone)  
303.724.0990 (Fax)  
uchsc.edu/comirb (Web)  
comirb@ucdenver.edu (E-Mail)  
FWA00005070 (FWA)

University of Colorado Hospital  
Denver Health Medical Center  
Veteran's Administration Medical Center  
The Children's Hospital  
University of Colorado Denver  
Colorado Prevention Center

### Certificate of Approval

24-Jun-2009

**Investigator:** Wendy Kohrt  
**Sponsor(s):**  
**Subject:** COMIRB Protocol 02-058 Continuing Review  
**Effective Date:** 17-Jun-2009  
**Expiration Date:** 16-Jun-2010  
**Title:** EXERCISE TO COUNTERACT LOSS OF BONE AND MUSCLE DURING ANDROGEN DEPRIVATION THERAPY IN MEN WITH PROSTATE CANCER

All COMIRB Approved Investigators must comply with the following:

- For the duration of your protocol, any change in the experimental design/consent and/or assent form must be approved by the COMIRB before implementation of the changes.
- Use only a copy of the COMIRB signed and dated Consent and/or Assent Form. The investigator bears the responsibility for obtaining from all subjects "Informed Consent" as approved by the COMIRB. The COMIRB REQUIRES that the subject be given a copy of the consent and/or assent form. Consent and/or assent forms must include the name and telephone number of the investigator.
- Provide non-English speaking subjects with a certified translation of the approved Consent and/or Assent Form in the subject's first language.
- The investigator also bears the responsibility for informing the COMIRB immediately of any Unanticipated Problems that are unexpected and related to the study in accordance with COMIRB Policy and Procedures.
- Obtain COMIRB approval for all advertisements, questionnaires and surveys before use.
- Federal regulations require a Continuing Review to renew approval of this project within a 12-month period from the last approval date unless otherwise indicated in the review cycle listed below. If you have a restricted/high risk protocol, specific details will be outlined in this letter. Non-compliance with Continuing Review will result in the termination of this study.

You will be sent a Continuing Review reminder 75 days prior to the expiration date. Any questions regarding this COMIRB action can be referred to the Coordinator at 303-724-1055 or UCHSC Box F-490.

#### Review Comments:

Continuing Review (CRV007), 1st Review: All information required for continuing review and re-approval of the protocol and consent form was included and found to be satisfactory with the exception of the requested itemized changes below.

Continuing Review Frequency: The committee determined that the continuing review frequency is 12 months. The reason for this frequency is due to the specific experience of the PI and other members of the research team, the risks / adverse events are anticipated to be minimal considering the scope of the study, low subject accrual, close monitoring of subjects, and oversight by a Safety Officer.

Risks: The committee determined that the risks were appropriately minimized as outlined. The committee made the following risk assessment for the population to be enrolled:

Appendix B – Personnel Who Received Pay

**DAMD17-03-1-0276**

Daniel Barry

Heather Brooks

Daniel Dahl

Michael Glode

Catherine Jankowski

Wendy Kohrt

Michael Witten